MAR 0 7 2013

5.0 510(k) Summary

The proposed device, ShuntCheck III is substantially equivalent to its predicate, ShuntCheck v2.2 (K080168) by virtue of a common indication for use and similar technical characteristics. Performance test results confirm that ShuntCheck III performed as intended and that minor differences from the predicate device do not impact safety or effectiveness.

	e predicate device do not impact salety	
Submitter:	NeuroDx Development LLC 3333 S 19020	
Contact:	Frederick J. Fritz, CEO, 609-865-4426 (telephone) 215-645-1268(fax)	
Date Prepared:	October 2, 2012	
Trade Name:	ShuntCheck	
Classification:	Class II	·
	Central Nervous System Fluid Shunt a	ind Components
	21 CFR 882.5550	,
Product Code:	JXG	
Predicate	The subject device is equivalent to the	following devices:
Device(s):	ShuntCheck (version 2.2) (K080168)	2
Device	ShuntCheck is a non-invasive device	which detects flow in a CSF shunt via
Description:	transcutaneous thermal dilution. Th	
	disposable thermosensor array pate	
	acquisition unit (a DAO) which is conn	ected to a lanton or tablet computer
	acquisition unit (a DAQ) which is connected to a laptop or tablet computer.	
	The device also includes a Micro-Pumper which vibrates the shunt valve during the test procedure to generate a temporary increase in flow in patent	
	but temporarily non-flowing shunts. T	
	by placing an instant ice pack over the shunt cephalic to the thermosensor.	
	The thermosensor array patch, which is placed on the skin over the shunt	
	"downstream" of the ice, reads the change in skin temperature over the	
	shunt as cooled fluid flows downstream and also at a two nearby control	
	locations. Data is transferred through the DAQ and captured in the	
	computer. If the device detects a characteristic downstream	
	transcutaneous temperature dip, the computer reports "flow confirmed" and	
	presents a time-temperature graph of test data. If no temperature dip is	
	detected, the unit reports "flow not	t confirmed" and presents a time-
	temperature graph.	
	ShuntCheck III	ShuntCheck v2.2
Indications for	ShuntCheck® is an aid to the	ShuntCheck is an aid to the
Use:	detection of flow in implanted	detection of flow in implanted
	cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) shunts.	cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) shunts.
	ShuntCheck includes Micro-Pumper,	ShuntCheck cannot alone diagnose
	a component which may be used to	
	generate flow in suspected	The clinical diagnosis of CSF shunt
	temporarily non-flowing, patent	·
	shunts during the ShuntCheck test.	incorporating the flow information
	ShuntCheck cannot alone diagnose	from ShuntCheck, should be made
	CSF shunt function or malfunction.	only by a qualified neurosurgeon.
	The clinical diagnosis of CSF shunt	only by a qualified floor boargoon.
	function or malfunction, incorporating	
	the flow information from	
	ShuntCheck, should be made only	
	by a qualified neurosurgeon.	
0 4		<u> </u>
	The Micro-Pumper should not be used when conducting ShuntCheck tests on patients under the age of five, patients with small or slit ventricles or	
Contraindications		

	where the ventricular catheter tip is wit	thin the brain parenchyma.
Substantial Equivalence of Technological Characteristics	ShuntCheck III and its predicate ShuntCheck v2.2 detect flow through CSF shunts via transcutaneous thermal dilution. Both utilize an external cooling source to cool the skin over the shunt. Both utilize a single use disposable thermosensor patch comprised of multiple thermistors which adheres to the patient's skin via medical grade adhesive to monitor skin temperature directly over the shunt and at separate control skin locations. Both employ an electronic unit which conditions, amplifies and converts the thermosensor signal to digital form. Both employ custom software running on a digital device to provide step-by-step instructions, analyze thermosensor data and display a test result (Flow Confirmed or Flow Not Confirmed plus a time-temperature graph). ShuntCheck III utilizes a tablet or laptop computer while the predicate used a Personal Digital Assistant (PDA). Both employ a method for generating increased flow in temporarily non-flowing patent shunts. A detailed comparison of the technological characteristics of ShuntCheck III	
	versus its predicate, ShuntCheck v2.2, ShuntCheck III	, follows ShuntCheck v2.2
Anatomical Sites	Thermosensor on clavicle Ice above sensor Micro-Pumping on shunt valve (on scalp)	Same Same If manual pumping is conducted, same
Where Used	Neurosurgery clinic, hospital emergency department	Same
Energy Used or Delivered	None	Same
Thermosensor	Single use only	Same
Thermosensor Thermistor Materials	Three fast response GE thermistors in Lexan cradles.	Same thermistors Thermistors adhered to patch
Thermosensor	Avery Medical grade adhesive &	3M medical grade adhesive &
Patch Materials Thermosensor	EVA foam Insulated wire, molded plastic	Rogers medical grade Poron foam Same
Cable & Connector	connection box, RJ45 connector	Same .
Thermistor Orientation	Single Test thermistor placed directly over the subcutaneous shunt flanked by two control thermistors which record ambient skin temperature: lce	Two test thermistors which overly the subcutaneous shunt. Single control thermistor which flanks the proximal test thermistor:
Thermosensor	Single array patch indicates correct	Same
orientation Ice placement	orientation Array patch indicates correct ice position	Same
Ice-to-thermistor distance	28 mm	16 mm

Lan	O	Oppose annially available water \$11 - 4
ce	Commercially available 4½" x 6" instant cold pack	Commercially available water-filled 1" plastic ice cube
Device Hardware Displayed Results	NeuroDx supplied Data Acquisition Unit (signal conditioning and A to D converter – called "DAQ") which attaches by wire to a NeuroDx supplied CyberMed T10 tablet computer or a user supplied Windows 7 laptop or tablet. Handheld Micro-Pumper which is held against and vibrates the shunt valve to generate a temporary increase in shunt flow Computer displays "Flow Confirmed" or "Flow not Confirmed", time- temperature graph and temperature	BioDisplay Unit (a Dell Axim PDA with application software packed into an off-the –shelf robust case) is a single hand-held device for collecting and integrating data BioDisplay Unit displays "Flow Confirmed" or "Flow not Confirmed". Time-temperature graph is
	decrease (amplitude) on a single results screen. PDF of results screen is available for printing or saving.	accessed on subsequent display screen. Temperature decrease (amplitude) is determined by interpreting the time-temperature graph. Results cannot be downloaded or printed.
Display Device Materials	NeuroDx supplied tablet is in ruggedized case	Glass reinforced ABS case
DAQ Size & Materials	Length 3" x Width 2" x Depth 3/4" ABS case	Integrated into BioDisplay
Micro-Pumper	A handheld component which is held against and vibrates the shunt valve for 60 seconds, generating a temporary increase in shunt flow in patent shunts. This temporary increase can be detected thermally by ShuntCheck. Micro-Pumping therefore allows ShuntCheck to detect flow in temporarily non-flowing patent shunts.	Patent shunts flow intermittently. To differentiate temporarily non- flowing patent shunts from occluded shunts, ShuntCheck users have induced flow by changing patient position (supine to sitting) or via manual shunt pumping. In manual pumping, the valve dome (or reservoir) is depressed and released, creating a surge of CSF flow.
Micro-Pumper Size & Materials	Oval cylinder Length 3.5" x Width 2.5" x Height 3.25" Polyurethane plastic	N/A
Performance Specifications	Repeatability 0.03 Accuracy ± 0.3°C Sampling Rate	Repeatability 0.06 Same Same
Application Software	Windows 7 based software program is preloaded onto the NeuroDx supplied tablet PC or supplied to end-user for installation onto their PC	Windows Mobile based software preloaded onto the PDA-based BioDisplay
Pre-test Error Checks	Software checks that the computer is operating on battery power (not plugged into AC power), that the thermosensor and DAQ are connected and that thermosensor readings are in biological range.	Software checks that BioDisplay is operating on battery power (not plugged into AC power), that the thermosensor is connected and that thermosensor readings are in biological range.

	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
,	Additionally checks for temperature	
	fluctuations indicating inadequate	
	sensor to skin contact and alerts	
	user correct contact.	
Post-Test Error	Software conducts post-test check of	Software conducts post-test check
Checks	data errors. Test errors are	of data errors. Test errors result in
	summarized and retesting is	Flow Not Confirmed result; no retest
	recommended	recommendation
Software Data	Results are displayed either flow	Results are displayed either flow
Output	confirmed or flow not confirmed	confirmed or flow not confirmed
	(bivariate output) according to a	(bivariate output) according to a
	validated algorithm. Time-	validated algorithm. Time-
	temperature graph and temperature	temperature graph available on a
	decrease (amplitude) displayed on	subsequent screen.
	same screen.	
Standards Met	60601,	Same
D :	ISO 10093-1	Same
Biocompatibility	All skin contacting materials are	Same
O1 27 . (1)	medical grade, biocompatible	
Sterilization	None	Same
Electrical Safety	60601 tested	Same
Mechanical Safety	Medical grade adhesive	Same
	Micro-Pumper generates less	If manual pumping is used to induce
	ventricular suction than manual	flow, ventricular suction generated
	shunt pumping, no valve damage or	exceeds that generated by Micro-
	alteration	Pumping
Chemical Safety	Biocompatible materials	Same
Thermal Safety	Over the counter instant ice pack	1" plastic ice cube placed for 60
	placed for 60 seconds and used	seconds
	according to label	
Radiation Safety	No radiation	Same
Functional and	To verify that device design meet	
Safety Non-	requirements, each device was submit	
Clinical Testing:	verification to confirm accuracy when	
	simulation) and the expected tempera	ature output displayed by the device
	software.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	To verify that device design meets its safety requirements, a representative sample of the device has been subjected to safety testing in accordance with IEC 60601 and biocompatibility tests per ISO 10993. To verify the functionality of the device, bench testing was conducted in which the device was found to be substantially equivalent to the predicate	
	device.	·
Chun4Chanla	Bench test results follow:	h
ShuntCheck Bench Testing	ShuntCheck bench testing employs a thermal bench which simulates the	
without Micro-	transcutaneous cooling of the ShuntCheck test. Flow is regulated with an	
Pumper	infusion pump. The ShuntCheck thermosensor is placed over the embedded catheter and the ShuntCheck test is conducted normally.	
, amper	ShuntCheck III	ShuntCheck v2.2
Detect flow of 10	100% (100% accurate)	100% (100% accurate)
ml/hr	,	,
Detect flow of 0 ml/hr	0% (100% accurate)	0% (100% accurate)
Threshold of	Between 3.5 and 5 ml/hr	Between 5 and 7.5 ml/hr
detection		

Detect 10 ml/hr			
ShuntCheck Bench Testing with Micro- Pumper Micro-Pumper bench testing employs a vertical bench where shunt valves are mounted under artificial skin to simulate the implanted shunt valve. Shunt tubing is connected at the proximal and distal end to height- pumper At the distal end of the shunt catheter is a drop counter which measure the fluid flow rate. The Micro-Pumper vibrates the shunt valve for 60 seconds while flow rate is recorded. The test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect Micro-Pumper-generated flow employed the thermal bench described above. Testing of eight shunt valves: • Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in clogged shunts 0.0 to 0.03 cc • Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts) 0.9 to 2.8 cc Testing of eight shunt valves: • Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in clogged shunts 0.0 to 0.03 cc • Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts) 0.9 to 2.8 cc These results indicate that Wilcro-Pumper generates flow in a patent, non-flowing shunts but does not generate flow in occluded shunts. It does not generate sufficient flow to cause overdrainage Test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumping of 5 programmable valve • Valve settings changed by Micro-Pumping of 5 programmable valve • Test of occluded shunt (in flow) – 0% detection • Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection • Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection • Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection • Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection • Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection • Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection • Test of patent	Detect 10 ml/hr	100% at 20° rotation 0% at 20° rotation	
ShuntCheck Bench Testing with Micro- Pumper some mounted under artificial skin to simulate the implanted shunt valve are mounted under artificial skin to simulate the implanted shunt valve. Shunt tubing is connected at the proximal and distal end to heightadjustable fluid reservoirs to simulate pressure changes within the shunt valve system. At the distal end of the shunt catheter is a drop counter which measure the fluid flow rate. The Micro-Pumper vibrates the shunt valve for 60 second Micro-Pumper described above. Shunt flow generated by 60 second Micro-Pumper Pumper application Micro-Pumper Pumper application Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function Testing of eight shunt valves • Change in natural flow pre vs post Micro-Pumping 0% Testing of eight shunt valves • Change in natural flow pre vs post Micro-Pumping 0% Testing of 5 programmable valve • Valve settings changed by Micro-Pumping 0% Testing of 5 programmable valve • Valve settings changed by Micro-Pumping 0% These results indicate that valve performance is unaltered by Micro-Pumper * Test of cacluded shunt (no flow) — 0% detection Test of patent, flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr — 100% detection * Test of patent, flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr — 100% detection * Test of patent, flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr — 100% detection * Test of patent, flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr — 100% detection * Test of patent, flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr — 100% detection * Test of patent, flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr — 100% detection * Test of patent, flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr — 100% detection * Test of patent, flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr — 100% detection * Test of patent, flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated		100% at 4mm lateral misplacement 0% at 4mm lateral misplacement	
are mounted under artificial skin to simulate the implanted shunt valve. Shunt floro-Pumper At the distal end of the shunt catheter is a drop counter which measure the fluid flow rate. The Micro-Pumper vibrates the shunt valve for 60 seconds while flow rate is recorded. The test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect Micro-Pumper-generated flow employed the thermal bench described above. Testing of eight shunt valves: Plow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc Flow in clogged shunts 0.0 to 0.03 cc Micro-Pumper application Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function Test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow in occluded shunts. It does not generate sufficient flow to cause overdrainage Testing of eight shunt valves: • Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in patent flow in patent flow in patent, non-flowing shunts. It does not generate disw in occluded shunts in occluded shunts. It does not generate disw in occluded shunts in occluded shunts. It does not generate disw in occluded shunts in occluded shunts in occluded shunts. It does not generate			
Shunt tubing is connected at the proximal and distal end to height- adjustable fluid reservoirs to simulate pressure changes within the shunt system. At the distal end of the shunt catheter is a drop counter which measure the fluid flow rate. The Micro-Pumper vibrates the shunt valve for 60 seconds while flow rate is recorded. The test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect Micro-Pumper-generated blow employed the thermal bench described above. Testing of eight shunt valves: Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function Testing of eight shunt valves Change in natural flow pre vs post Micro-Pumping <50% Damage to valve (evidence of backflow) post Micro-Pumping 0% Tresting of appearance by Micro-Pumper Test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper Test of cocluded shunt (no flow) – 0% detection These results indicate that valve performance is unaltered by Micro-Pumper Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested as a server to a confirmed functioning shunt shuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findin			
adjustable fluid reservoirs to simulate pressure changes within the shunt system. At the distal end of the shunt catheter is a drop counter which measure the fluid flow rate. The Micro-Pumper vibrates the shunt valve for 60 seconds while flow rate is recorded. The test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect Micro-Pumper-generated flow employed the thermal bench described above. Testing of eight shunt valves: • Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in clogged shunts 0.0 to 0.03 cc • Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts) 0.9 to 2.8 cc These results indicate that Micro-Pumper generates flow in a patent, non-flowing shunts but does not generate flow in occluded shunts. It does not generate sufficient flow to cause overdrainage Testing of eight shunt valves • Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts) 0.9 to 2.8 cc • Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts) 0.9 to 2.8 cc • Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in clogged shunts 0.0 to 0.03 cc • Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in clogged shunts 0.0 to 0.03 cc • Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in clogged shunts 0.0 to 0.03 cc • Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in clogged shunts 0.0 to 0.03 cc • Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow in clogged shunts 0.0 to 0.03 cc • Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow confirmed flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc • Flow Confirmed flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 m/hr - 100% detection • Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 m/hr - 100% detection • Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 m/hr - 100% detection • Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper			
system. At the distal end of the shunt catheter is a drop counter which measure the fluid flow rate. The Micro-Pumper vibrates the shunt valve for 60 seconds while flow rate is recorded. The test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect Micro-Pumper-generated flow employed the thermal bench described above. Testing of eight shunt valves: Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc Micro-Pumper application Micro-Pumper burden to be application and the string of eight shunt valves: - Flow in clogged shunts 0.0 to 0.03 cc - Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts) 0.9 to 2.8 cc These results indicate that Micro-Pumper generates flow in a patent, non-flowing shunts but does not generate flow in occluded shunts. It does not generate sufficient flow to cause overdrainage Testing of eight shunt valves - Change in natural flow pre vs post Micro-Pumping 0% Testing of 5 programmable valve - Valve settings changed by Micro-Pumping 0% These results indicate that valve performance is unaltered by Micro-Pumper flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection - Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Fediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with conf			
measure the fluid flow rate. The Micro-Pumper vibrates the shunt valve for 60 seconds while flow rate is recorded. The test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect Micro-Pumper-generated flow employed the thermal bench described above. Testing of eight shunt valves: Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc Flow in platent flow (in patent flowing shunts) 0.9 to 2.8 cc These results indicate that Micro-Pumper generates flow in a patent, non-flowing shunts but does not generate flow in occluded shunts. It does not generate sufficient flow to cause overdrainage Testing of eight shunt valves Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function Testing of eight shunt valves Change in natural flow pre vs post Micro-Pumping <50% Damage to valve (evidence of backflow) post Micro-Pumping 0% Test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper of 15 to 200 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that Valve performance is unaltered by Micro-Pumper flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Functional and Safety Clinical Fediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or maifunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients	Pumper		
60 seconds while flow rate is recorded. The test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect Micro-Pumper-generated flow employed the thermal bench described above. Shunt flow generated by 60 second Micro-Pumper application Micro-Pumper application Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function flow on the follow generated sufficient flow to cause overdrainage Testing of eight shunt valves Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve flow of 55 programmable valve Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve flow of 55 programmable valve Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve flow of 55 programmable valve Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve flow of 55 programmable valve Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve flow on the valve flow generated shunt flow flow flow on the flow of 55 programmable valve Micro-Pumper Impact flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection Test of patent, flow flow flow flow flow flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection Micro-Pumper application Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomat			
The test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect Micro-Pumper-generated flow employed the thermal bench described above. Testing of eight shunt valves: Flow in patent non-flowing shunts (at 0 ICP) 0.3 to 0.9 cc Flow in clogged shunts 0.0 to 0.03 cc These results indicate that Micro-Pumper generates flow in a patent, non-flowing shunts but does not generate flow in occluded shunts. It does not generate sufficient flow to cause overdrainage Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve settings changed by Micro-Pumping 0% Testing of eight shunt valves Change in natural flow pre vs post Micro-Pumping 0% Testing of programmable valve Valve settings changed by Micro-Pumping 0% These results indicate that valve performance is unaltered by Micro-Pumper Test of Cocluded shunt (no flow) – 0% detection Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested as second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck lll including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Res		60 seconds while flow rate is recorded.	
employed the thermal bench described above.			
Shunt flow generated by 60 second Micro-Pumper application Micro-Pumper application Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function was constituted by a second Micro-Pumper application Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function was constituted by Micro-Pumper of the safety and functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Safety Accuracy of ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper Flow Confirmed results indicate surface and surface of the surface of s			
second Micro- Pumper application Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function Test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper Imporer Impact on shunt valve function Test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper Imporer Imp			
Flow in clogged shunts 0.0 to 0.03 cc Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts) 0.9 to 2.8 cc These results indicate that Micro-Pumper generates flow in a patent, non-flowing shunts but does not generate flow in occluded shunts. It does not generate sufficient flow to cause overdrainage Testing of eight shunt valves		, and a second s	
Pumper application • Maximum flow (in patent flowing shunts) 0.9 to 2.8 cc These results indicate that Micro-Pumper generates flow in a patent, non-flowing shunts but does not generate flow in occluded shunts. It does not generate sufficient flow to cause overdrainage Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function Testing of eight shunt valves • Change in natural flow pre vs post Micro-Pumping <50% • Damage to valve (evidence of backflow) post Micro-Pumping 0% Testing of 5 programmable valve • Valve settings changed by Micro-Pumping 0% These results indicate that valve performance is unaltered by Micro-Pumper flow generated by Micro-Pumper application Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. These same patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
These results indicate that Micro-Pumper generates flow in a patent, non-flowing shunts but does not generate flow in occluded shunts. It does not generate sufficient flow to cause overdrainage Testing of eight shunt valves Testing of eight shunt valves Change in natural flow pre vs post Micro-Pumping 0% Testing of 5 programmable valve Valve settings changed by Micro-Pumping 0% These results indicate that valve performance is unaltered by Micro-Pumper Test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Accuracy of ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
flowing shunts but does not generate flow in occluded shunts. It does not generate sufficient flow to cause overdrainage Testing of eight shunt valves Impact on shunt valve function Testing of 5 programmable valve • Change in natural flow pre vs post Micro-Pumping 0% Testing of 5 programmable valve • Valve settings changed by Micro-Pumping 0% These results indicate that valve performance is unaltered by Micro-Pumper Test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested as second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patient) Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
Micro-Pumper Impact on shunt valve function Testing of eight shunt valves Damage to valve (evidence of backflow) post Micro-Pumping 0% Testing of 5 programmable valve Valve settings changed by Micro-Pumping 0% These results indicate that valve performance is unaltered by Micro-Pumper of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck Ill including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunts patients. (Shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients.	application		
Testing of eight shunt valves		, •	
Change in natural flow pre vs post Micro-Pumping <50% Damage to valve (evidence of backflow) post Micro-Pumping 0% Testing of 5 programmable valve			
Damage to valve (evidence of backflow) post Micro-Pumping 0% Testing of 5 programmable valve		1 0 0 .	
Testing of 5 programmable valve Valve settings changed by Micro-Pumping 0% These results indicate that valve performance is unaltered by Micro-Pumper Test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck Ill including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
Valve settings changed by Micro-Pumping 0% These results indicate that valve performance is unaltered by Micro-Pumper SubntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck Ill including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Accuracy of ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper Accuracy with proposed Micro-Pumper Plow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients	valve function		
Test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper Test of ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients		Testing of 5 programmable valve	
Test of Occluded Shunt (no flow) – 0% detection ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper Micro-Pumper Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper **Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 100 ml/hr – 100% detection **Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application **Functional and Safety Clinical Testing:** **Principle of the Micro-Pumper application** **Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: **Study Results** Safety** Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded* Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) to 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
ability to detect flow generated by Micro-Pumper Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
* Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of 15 to 200 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients	Į.	Test of patent, non-flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow	
Micro-Pumper 15 to 200 ml/hr – 100% detection These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
These results indicate that ShuntCheck can correctly detect the increased flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients		Test of patent flowing shunt with Micro-Pumper generated flow of	
Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients	Micro-Pumper	15 to 200 ml/hr – 100% detection	
Functional and Safety Clinical Testing: Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
Testing: the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system. Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients		flow generated with the Micro-Pumper application	
Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38 asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients	Functional and	Human clinical testing was conducted at Boston Children's Hospital to verify	
asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper. These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients	Safety Clinical	the safety and functionality of the Micro-Pumper component of the system.	
These same patients were tested a second time with ShuntCheck plus an earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients	Testing:	Pediatric hydrocephalus patients 5 years and older were tested. 38	
earlier version of Micro-Pumper. Finally, 12 patients who were either asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Accuracy of ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper Accuracy with proposed Micro-Pumper Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients		asymptomatic patients were tested with ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper.	
asymptomatic or had confirmed functioning shunts and 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Accuracy of ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper Accuracy with proposed Micro-Pumper Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
confirmed obstructed shunts were tested with ShuntCheck III including the proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Accuracy of ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper Accuracy with proposed Micro-Pumper Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
proposed version of Micro-Pumper. (Shunt function or malfunction was confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Accuracy of ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper Accuracy with proposed Micro-Pumper Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
confirmed via MRI imaging). Specific findings were: Study Results Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Accuracy of ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper Accuracy with proposed Micro-Pumper Study Results Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Accuracy of ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper Accuracy with proposed Micro-Pumper Study Results Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
Safety Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Accuracy of ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper Accuracy with proposed Micro-Pumper Zero Adverse Events or safety issues were recorded Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients			
Accuracy of ShuntCheck without Micro-Pumper Accuracy with proposed Micro-Possed Mi			
ShuntCheck without Micro- Pumper Accuracy with proposed Micro- patients patent) 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients	Safety		
without Micro- Pumper Accuracy with proposed Micro- proposed Micro- Accuracy with proposed Micro- patients 15 of 38 or 39% Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients		Flow Confirmed results in 38 asymptomatic patients (shunts expected to be	
Pumper Accuracy with proposed Micropatients Flow Confirmed results in 12 asymptomatic or confirmed functioning shunt patients		patent)	
Accuracy with proposed Micro-proposed Micro-propose			
proposed Micro- patients		•	
		patients	
Pumper • 9 of 12 or 75%			
•	Pumper	1	
Flow Not Confirmed in 4 patients with confirmed obstructed shunts	·		
• 4 of 4 or 100%		• 4 of 4 or 100%	

	These results suggest that Micro-Pumper increases ShuntCheck's ability to detect flow in patent shunts.
Conclusion:	NeuroDx Development believes the ShuntCheck III to be substantially equivalent to the predicate device ShuntCheck (v2.2). This conclusion is based upon the both devices' similarities in principles of operation, technology, materials, and indications for use.







March 7,2013

Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Document Control Center – WO66-G609 Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002

NeuroDx Development, Inc. % Mr. Fredrick J. Fritz President and CEO 3333 Street Road, Suite 210 Bensalem, PA 19020

Re: K123554

Trade/Device Name: ShuntCheck III: Non-Invasive Transcutaneous Thermal Dilution

System for Detecting Ventriculo-Peritoneal Shunt Flow

Regulation Number: 21 CFR 882.5550

Regulation Name: Central Nervous System Fluid Shunt and Components

Regulatory Class: Class II

Product Code: JXG
Dated: February 1, 2013
Received: February 4, 2013

Dear Mr. Fritz:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you; however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either Class II (Special Controls) or Class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act

or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820); and if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

If you desire specific advice for your device on our labeling regulation (21 CFR Part 801), please go to http://www.fda.gov/AboutFDA/CentersOffices/CDRH/CDRHOffices/ucm115809.htm for the Center for Devices and Radiological Health's (CDRH's) Office of Compliance. Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to

http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/ReportaProblem/default.htm for the CDRH's Office of Surveillance and Biometrics/Division of Postmarket Surveillance.

You may obtain other general information on your responsibilities under the Act from the Division of Small Manufacturers, International and Consumer Assistance at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (301) 796-7100 or at its Internet address http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ResourcesforYou/Industry/default.htm.

Sincerely yours,

Joyce M. Whang

for Victor Krauthamer, Ph.D.
Acting Division Director
Division of Neurological and Physical
Medicine Devices
Office of Device Evaluation
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

Indications for Use

510(k) Number (if known): K123554

Device Name: ShuntCheck III: Non-Invasive Transcutaneous Thermal Dilution System for Detecting Ventriculo-Peritoneal Shunt Flow

Indications For Use:

ShuntCheck is an aid to the detection of flow in implanted cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) shunts. ShuntCheck includes Micro-Pumper, a component which may be used to temporarily increase CSF flow in suspected non-flowing, patent shunts during the ShuntCheck test. ShuntCheck cannot alone diagnose CSF shunt function or malfunction. The clinical diagnosis of CSF shunt function or malfunction, incorporating the flow information from ShuntCheck, should be made only by a qualified neurosurgeon.

Prescription Use	AND/OR	Over-The-Counter Use
(Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart	D)	(21 CFR 801 Subpart C)
(PLEASE DO NOT V	VRITE BELOW THIS LINE	-CONTINUE ON ANOTHER PAGE I

Concurrence of CDRH, Office of Device Evaluation (ODE)



(Division Sign Off)

Division of Neurological and Physical Medicine Devices (DNPMD)

510(k) Number <u>K123554</u>